Application No. 10/712,917 Paper Dated: November 2, 2007

In Response to USPTO Correspondence Dated July 16, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 3419-032151

REMARKS

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that the Reissue Oath/Declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application and, as a result, claims 1-9, 15-18 and 41 are rejected as being based upon a defective Reissue Declaration. A copy of an executed Supplemental Declaration addressing this issue is included with this Amendment. The language of this Declaration was reviewed in advance by the Examiner for adequacy.

On page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that the application contains claims which are not elected as set forth in the Restriction Requirement of the first Office Action. By way of the present Amendment, these claims are cancelled. However, the Applicants reserve the right to file a divisional application based upon these claims.

Acceptance of the enclosed Supplemental Declaration, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-9, 15-18 and 41, are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

Paul M. Reznick

Registration No. 33,059 Attorney for Applicants

700 Koppers Building

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com